

Slides partly adapted from Lukas Röllin

Georgia Fragkouli comm-net.ethz.ch

ETH Zürich 06 Mar 2025





Last week's exercise

Important lecture topics

Time to solve the exercise





Last week's exercise

Important lecture topics

Time to solve the exercise



Task 1.2: AS classification Definitions

Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3 IXP

and never a customer

Never a provider

- Provider of at least one AS
- Provider of at least one AS and customer of at least one AS
- and customer of at least one AS
- Only peering connections



Task 1.2: AS classification Hint



Start with identifying Tier 1/2/3s ignoring peering connections

Identify IXPs as ASes with only peering connections



Task 1.2: AS classification Can a Tier 1 be a direct provider of a Tier 3?



In theory — yes AS2 (Tier 1) provider of AS4 (Tier 3)

In practice — rare due to cost/business reasons



Traceroute from within the ETH network gives surprising output Possible reasons?

georgiafragkouli@philipps-screen-gfragkouli ~ % traceroute sydney.edu.a						
traceroute to sydney.edu.au (20.248.131.216), 64 hops max, 40 byte pack						
1	rou-etx-1-staff-net-etx-dock-1-a	.ethz.ch (10.6.48.1) 7.011 ms 6.7				
2	rou-bow-hci-staff-net (10.1.2.54) 10.909 ms 5.725 ms 11.691 ms				
3	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	12.545 ms 10.328 ms 6.290 ms				
4	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	15.174 ms 3.330 ms 13.042 ms				
5	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	5.499 ms 3.506 ms 4.478 ms				
6	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	32.029 ms 14.086 ms 10.376 ms				
7	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	29.156 ms 5.424 ms 10.090 ms				
8	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	4.977 ms 14.864 ms 32.947 ms				
9	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	22.862 ms 84.157 ms 5.846 ms				
10	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	343.513 ms 305.945 ms 312.293 ms				
11	20.248.131.216 (20.248.131.216)	305.814 ms 302.234 ms 305.432 ms				

edu.au packets 6.793 ms 6.108 ms ms ns ms ns

Looping on same hop End network modifies replies

ETH network modifies replies





Most likely reason: ETH network modifies replies



Traceroute from within the ETH network gives surprising output

It happens only in the ETH network and for different, independent destinations

External routers reply with their src IP ETH rewrites src IP to IP of traceroute dst

traceroute does not tell us ETH net admins: it saves resources on firewall https://networkdirection.net/articles/firewalls/icmpinspection/







Last week's exercise

Important lecture topics

Time to solve the exercise





Inputs source u cost c(n1,n2) for all n1,n2

Data structures

S: nodes with known shortest cost D(n): shortest cost to n



























Find u's forwarding table? For each destination, what is the next hop to use?



Can be computed from shortest path: first hop on shortest path

Eg if u->A->B->D shortest path to D, u uses A as next hop to D

path:

Find shortest paths? Maintain one more data structure



Inputs source u cost c(n1,n2) for all n1,n2

Data structures

S: nodes with known shortest cost D(n): shortest cost to n p(n): prev node on shortest path to n









p(.) =		D(.) =	D(.) =	
Α	u	Α	3	
В	null	В	∞	
С	null	С	∞	
D	null	D	∞	
Е	u	Е	2	
F	null	F	∞	
G	null	G	∞	

























Dijkstra's algorithm also computes u's forwarding table Eg u's next hop to D?









Last week's exercise

Important lecture topics

Time to solve the exercise

