Communication Networks

Prof. Laurent Vanbever

Communication Networks

Spring 2022

Laurent Vanbever
nsg.ee.ethz.ch

ETH Zürich (D-ITET)
May 23 2022

Materials inspired from Scott Shenker, Jennifer Rexford

Last week on

Communication Networks

Congestion Control

DNS

google.ch ⟷ 172.217.16.131

Congestion Control

DNS

Congestion control aims at solving three problems

#1	bandwidth estimation	How to adjust the bandwidth of a single flow to the bottleneck bandwidth? could be 1 Mbps or 1 Gbps…
#2	bandwidth adaptation	How to adjust the bandwidth of a single flow to variation of the bottleneck bandwidth?
#3	fairness	How to share bandwidth "fairly" among flows, without overloading the network

The sender adapts its sending rate based on two windows

Receiving Window RWND	How many bytes can be sent without overflowing the receiver buffer? based on the receiver input
Congestion Window CWND	How many bytes can be sent without overflowing the routers? based on network conditions
Sender Window	minimum(CWND, RWND)

The 2 key mechanisms of Congestion Control

detecting congestion

reacting to congestion

The 2 key mechanisms of Congestion Control

detecting congestion

reacting to congestion

Detecting losses can be done using ACKs or timeouts, the two signal differ in their degree of severity

duplicated ACKs	mild congestion signal packets are still making it
timeout	severe congestion signal multiple consequent losses

The 2 key mechanisms of Congestion Control

detecting congestion

reacting to congestion

Initially, you want to quickly get a first-order estimate of the available bandwidth

Intuition	Start slow but rapidly increase until a packet drop occurs	
Increase policy	cwnd = 1 cwnd += 1	initially upon receipt of an ACK

Then, you want to "oscillate" around the estimate ensuring fairness along the way

	increase behavior	decrease behavior
AIAD	gentle	gentle
AIMD	gentle	aggressive
MIAD	aggressive	gentle
MIMD	aggressive	aggressive

Congestion control makes TCP throughput look like a “sawtooth”



Congestion Control

DNS

google.ch ⟷ 172.217.16.131

The DNS system is a distributed database which enables to resolve a name into an IP address

name	DNS	IP address
www.ethz.ch		129.132.19.216

To scale,
DNS adopt three intertwined hierarchies

naming structure	hierarchy of addresses https://www.ee.ethz.ch/de/departement/
management	hierarchy of authority over names
infrastructure	hierarchy of DNS servers

This week on
Communication Networks

DNS

Web

google.ch ⟷ 172.217.16.131

http://www.google.ch

DNS

Web

google.ch ⟷ 172.217.16.131

see slides from last week

DNS

Web

http://www.google.ch

The Web as we know it was founded in ~1990,
by Tim Berners-Lee, physicist at CERN



Tim Berners-Lee Photo: CERN

His goal:
provide distributed access to data

The World Wide Web (WWW):
a distributed database of "pages"
linked together via the
Hypertext Transport Protocol (HTTP)

The Web was and still is so successful as
it enables everyone to self-publish content

Self-publishing on the Web is easy, independent & free
and accessible, to everyone

People weren't looking for technical perfection
little interest in collaborative or idealistic endeavor

People essentially want to make their mark
and find something neat…

The WWW is made of
three key components

Infrastructure	Content	Implementation
Clients/Browser Servers Proxies	Objects files, pictures, videos, … *organized* in Web sites a collection of objects	URL: name content HTTP: transport content

We'll focus on its implementation

Infrastructure	Content	Implementation
Clients/Browser Servers Proxies	Objects files, pictures, videos, … *organized* in Web sites a collection of objects	URL: name content HTTP: transport content

Infrastructure	Content	Implementation
Clients/Browser Servers Proxies	Objects files, pictures, videos, … *organized* in Web sites a collection of objects	**URL: name content** HTTP: transport content

A Uniform Resource Locator (URL)
refers to an Internet ressource

protocol://hostname[:port]/directory_path/resource

protocol://hostname[:port]/directory_path/resource

HTTP(S)
FTP
SMTP…

protocol://hostname[:port]/directory_path/resource

DNS Name
IP address

default to protocol's standard
HTTP:80, HTTPs:443

protocol://hostname[:port]/directory_path/resource

protocol://hostname[:port]/directory_path/resource

identify the resource
on the destination

Infrastructure	Content	Implementation
Clients/Browser Servers Proxies	Objects files, pictures, videos, … *organized* in Web sites a collection of objects	URL: name content **HTTP: transport content**

HTTP is a rather simple synchronous request/reply protocol

HTTP is layered over a bidirectional byte stream
typically TCP, but QUIC is ramping up

HTTP is text-based (ASCII)
human readable, easy to reason about

HTTP is stateless
it maintains *no info* about past client requests

HTTP clients make request to the server

HTTP request

method <sp> URL <sp> version	<cr><lf>
header field name: value	<cr><lf>
…	
header field name: value	<cr><lf>
<cr><lf>	
body	

method	GET	return resource
	HEAD	return headers only
	POST	send data to server (forms)
URL		relative to server (*e.g.*, /index.html)
version		1.0, 1.1, 2.0

HTTP clients make request to the server

HTTP request

method <sp> URL <sp> version	<cr><lf>
header field name: value	<cr><lf>
…	
header field name: value	<cr><lf>
<cr><lf>	
body	



Request headers are of variable lengths, but still, human readable

Uses	Authorization info
	Acceptable document types/encoding
	From (user email)
	Host (identify the server to which the request is sent)
	If-Modified-Since
	Referrer (cause of the request)
	User Agent (client software)

Uses

- Authorization info
- Acceptable document types/encoding
- From (user email)
- Host (identify the server to which the request is sent)
- If-Modified-Since
- Referrer (cause of the request)
- User Agent (client software)

Recall that multiple DNS names can map to the same IP address

name	DNS	IP address
www.ethz.ch		129.132.19.216
vanbever.eu		82.130.102.71
route-aggregation.net		82.130.102.71
comm-net.ethz.ch		82.130.102.71

The "Host" header indicates the server (82.130.102.71) the desired domain name (this is known as virtual hosting)

name	DNS	IP address
www.ethz.ch		129.132.19.216
vanbever.eu		82.130.102.71
route-aggregation.net		82.130.102.71
comm-net.ethz.ch		82.130.102.71

Virtual hosting enables *one* IP address to host *multiple* websites

82.130.102.71 (resolved through DNS)

connect: openssl s_client -crlf -quiet -connect comm-net.ethz.ch:443

request:
```
GET / HTTP/1.1
Host: comm-net.ethz.ch
```

answer:
```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Fri, 01 May 2020 08:36:56 GMT
Server: Apache/2.4.18 (Ubuntu)

<head>
...
    <title>Communication Networks 2020</title>
....
```

82.130.102.71 (resolved through DNS)

connect: openssl s_client -crlf -quiet -connect comm-net.ethz.ch:443

request:
```
GET / HTTP/1.1
Host: vanbever.eu
```

answer:
```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Fri, 01 May 2020 08:44:26 GMT
Server: Apache/2.4.18 (Ubuntu)

<head>
...
    <title>Laurent Vanbever</title>
....
```

HTTP servers answers to clients' requests

HTTP response

version <sp> status <sp> phrase <cr><lf>
header field name: value <cr><lf>
...
header field name: value <cr><lf>
<cr><lf>
body

version <sp> status <sp> phrase <cr><lf>
header field name: value <cr><lf>
...
header field name: value <cr><lf>
<cr><lf>
body

	3 digit response code		reason phrase
Status	1XX	informational	
	2XX	success	200 OK
	3XX	redirection	301 Moved Permanently
			303 Moved Temporarily
			304 Not Modified
	4XX	client error	404 Not Found
	5XX	server error	505 Not Supported

version <sp> status <sp> phrase	<cr><lf>
header field name: value	<cr><lf>
...	
header field name: value	<cr><lf>
<cr><lf>	
body	

Like request headers, response headers are of variable lengths and human-readable

Uses

- Location (for redirection)
- Allow (list of methods supported)
- Content encoding (*e.g.*, gzip)
- Content-Length
- Content-Type
- Expires (caching)
- Last-Modified (caching)

HTTP is a stateless protocol, meaning each request is treated independently

advantages	disadvantages
server-side scalability	some applications need state! (shopping cart, user profiles, tracking)
failure handling is trivial	

How can you maintain state in a stateless protocol?

HTTP makes the client maintain the state. This is what the so-called cookies are for!

client stores small state
on behalf of the server *X*

client sends state
in all future requests to *X*

can provide authentication

telnet google.ch 80

request
```
GET / HTTP/1.1
Host: www.google.ch
```

answer
```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Sun, 01 May 2022 14:10:30 GMT
Cache-Control: private, max-age=0
Content-Type: text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1
Server: gws
```

browser will relay this value in following requests ——
```
Set-Cookie:
NID=79=g6lgURTq_BG4hSTFhEy1gTVFmSncQVsy
TJI260B3xyiXqy2wxD2YeHq1bBlwFyLoJhSc7jmcA
6TlFIBY7-
dW5lhjiRiQmY1JxT8hGCOtnLjfCL0mYcBBkpk8X4
NwAO28; expires=Mon, 31-Oct-2022 14:10:30
GMT; path=/; domain=.google.ch; HttpOnly
```



Performance goals vary depending on who you ask

	User	Network operators	Content provider
wish	fast downloads high availability	no overload	happy users cost-effective infrastructure
solution	Improve HTTP to compensate for TCP weakspots	Caching and Replication	

User

wish	fast downloads high availability
solution	Improve HTTP to compensate for TCP weakspots

Relying on TCP forces a HTTP client to open a connection before exchanging anything



Most Web pages have multiple objects, naive HTTP opens one TCP connection for each…

Fetching *n* objects requires ~2*n* RTTs

TCP establishment
HTTP request/response

One solution to that problem is to use multiple TCP connections in parallel

User	Happy!
Content provider	Happy!
Network operator	Not Happy! Why?



Another solution is to use persistent connections across multiple requests (the default in HTTP/1.1)

Avoid overhead of connection set-up and teardown
clients or servers can tear down the connection

Allow TCP to learn more accurate RTT estimate
and with it, more precise timeout value

Allow TCP congestion window to increase
and therefore to leverage higher bandwidth

Yet another solution is to pipeline requests & replies asynchronously, on one connection

- batch requests and responses to reduce the number of packets
- multiple requests can be packed into one TCP segment



Considering the time to retrieve *n* small objects, pipelining wins

	# RTTS
one-at-a-time	~2*n*
M concurrent	~2*n*/M
persistent	~*n*+1
pipelined	2

Considering the time to retrieve *n* big objects, there is no clear winner as bandwidth matters more

RTTS

$$\sim n * \frac{\text{avg. file size}}{\text{bandwidth}}$$

The average webpage size nowadays is 2.3 MB
as much as the original DOOM game…



(*) see https://mobiforge.com/research-analysis/the-web-is-doom

Top web sites have decreased in size though
because they care about TCP performance



(*) see https://mobiforge.com/research-analysis/the-web-is-doom

	User	Network operators	Content provider
			NETFLIX
wish		no overload	happy users cost-effective infrastructure
solution		Caching and Replication	

Caching leverages the fact that
highly popular content largely overlaps

Just think of how many times
you request the logo
per day

vs

how often it *actually* changes

Caching it saves time for your browser
and decrease network and server load

Yet, a significant portion of
the HTTP objects are “uncachable"

Examples	dynamic data	stock prices, scores, …
	scripts	results based on parameters
	cookies	results may be based on passed data
	SSL	cannot cache encrypted data
	advertising	wants to measure # of hits ($$$)

To limit staleness of cached objects,
HTTP enables a client to validate cached objects

Server hints when an object expires (kind of TTL)
as well as the last modified date of an object

Client conditionally requests a ressources
using the “if-modified-since” header in the HTTP request

Server compares this against “last modified” time
of the resource and returns:

- Not Modified if the resource has not changed
- OK with the latest version

Caching can be (and is) performed at different locations

client	browser cache
close to the client	forward proxy Content Distribution Network (CDN)
close to the destination	reverse proxy

Many clients request the same information



This increases servers and network's load,
while clients experience unnecessary delays



Reverse proxies cache documents close to servers,
decreasing their load



Forward proxies cache documents close to clients,
decreasing network traffic, server load and latencies



Network operators ↔ Content provider (NETFLIX)

wish	no overload	happy users cost-effective infrastructure
solution	Caching and Replication	

The idea behind replication is to duplicate
popular content all around the globe

Spreads load on server
e.g., across multiple data-centers

Places content closer to clients
only way to beat the "speed-of-light"

Helps speeding up uncachable content
still have to pull it, but from closer

The problem of CDNs is to direct and serve
your requests from a close, non-overloaded replica

DNS-based	BGP Anycast
returns ≠ IP addresses based on ■ client geo-localization ■ server load	advertise the same IP prefix from different locations avoided in practice, any idea why?

Akamai is one of the largest CDNs in the world,
boasting servers in more than 20,000 locations

Akamai uses a combination of

- *pull* caching
  direct result of clients requests
- *push* replication
  when expecting high access rate

together with some dynamic processing
dynamic Web pages, transcoding,…