## **Communication Networks**

Prof. Laurent Vanbever



Last week on Communication Networks

#### What Problems Should Be Solved Here?

#### Data delivering, to the correct application

- IP just points towards next protocol
- Transport needs to demultiplex incoming data (ports)
- Files or bytestreams abstractions for the applications
- Network deals with packets
- Transport layer needs to translate between them

Reliable transfer (if needed)

Not overloading the receiver

Not overloading the network

## **UDP: Datagram messaging service**

We continued our journey up the layers, and started to look at the transport layer

HTTP(S)

TCP/UDF

Application

Lin

UDP provides a connectionless, unreliable transport service

- No-frills extension of "best-effort" IP
- UDP provides only two services to the App layer
- Multiplexing/Demultiplexing among processes
- Discarding corrupted packets (optional)

## TCP: Reliable, in-order delivery

TCP provides a connection-oriented, reliable, bytestream transport service

#### What UDP provides, plus:

- Retransmission of lost and corrupted packets
- Flow control (to not overflow receiver)
- Congestion control (to not overload network)
- "Connection" set-up & tear-down

## Sockets

- socketID = socket(..., socket.TYPE)
- socketID.sendto(message, ...)
- socketID.recvfrom(...)

#### Two important types of sockets

- UDP socket: TYPE is SOCK\_DGRAM
- TCP socket: TYPE is SOCK\_STREAM

## **Multiplexing and Demultiplexing**

Host receives IP datagrams

- Each datagram has source and destination IP address,
- Each segment has source and destination port number

Host uses IP addresses and port numbers to direct the segment to appropriate socket

32 bits→		
source port #	dest port #	
other header fields		
applic da (mess	ation ta sage)	



Your IP: 129.132.19.1 Google's IP: 172.217.168.3

Clie	nt OS		src IP	src port	dest IP	dest port
s	ocket	1	129.132.19.1	54001	172.217.168.3	443 .
	_	2	129.132.19.1	55240	172.217.168.3	443
1.7		3	129.132.19.1	48472	172.217.168.3	443
		4	129.132.19.1	35456	172.217.168.3	443
		5	129.132.19.1	42001	172.217.168.3	443
Serv	ver OS		src IP	src port	dest IP	dest port
s	ocket	1	172.217.168.3	443	129.132.19.1	54001 .
		2	172.217.168.3	443	129.132.19.1	55240
	5	3	172.217.168.3	443	129.132.19.1	48472
		4	172.217.168.3	443	129.132.19.1	35456
		5	172.217.168.3	443	129.132.19.1	42001



A TCP/UDP socket is identified by a 4-tuple: (src IP, src port, dst IP, dest port) Because of traffic burstiness and lack of BW reservation, congestion is inevitable



If many packets arrive within a short period of time the node cannot keep up anymore

average packet arrival rate	а	[packet/sec]
transmission rate of outgoing link	R	[bit/sec]
fixed packets length	L	[bit
average bits arrival rate	La	[bit/sec]
traffic intensity	La/R	



When the traffic intensity is >1, the queue will increase without bound, and so does the queuing delay

Golden rule

Congestion is not a new problem

Design your queuing system, so that it operates far from that point



The Internet almost died of congestion in 1986 throughput collapsed from 32 Kbps to... 40 bps The Internet almost died of congestion in 1986 throughput collapsed from 32 Kbps to... 40 bps

Van Jacobson saved us with Congestion Control

his solution went right into BSD

Recent resurgence of research interest after brief lag new methods (ML), context (Data centers), requirements

original behavior	On connection, nodes send full window of packets
	·
	Upon timer expiration,
	retransmit packet immediately
meaning	sending rate only limited by flow control
net effect	window-sized burst of packets

# Increase in network load results in a decrease of useful work done

Sudden load increased the round-trip time (RTT) faster than the hosts' measurements of it

As RTT exceeds the maximum retransmission interval, hosts begin to retransmit packets

Hosts are sending each packet several times, eventually some copies arrive at the destination.

This phenomenon is known as congestion collapse







#1	bandwidth estimation	How to adjust the bandwidth of a single flow to the bottleneck bandwidth?
		could be 1 Mbps or 1 Gbps
#2	bandwidth adaptation	How to adjust the bandwidth of a single flow to variation of the bottleneck bandwidth?
#3	fairness	How to share bandwidth "fairly" among flows,

without overloading the network



Van Jacobson saved us with Congestion Control

his solution went right into BSD













	increase behavior	decrease behavior	
AIAD	gentle	gentle	
AIMD	gentle	aggressive	
MIAD	aggressive	gentle	
MIMD	aggressive	aggressive	



#### AIAD does not converge to fairness, nor efficiency:

the system fluctuates between two fairness states



#### increase decrease behavior behavior AIAD gentle gentle AIMD gentle aggressive MIAD aggressive gentle MIMD aggressive aggressive

MIMD does not converge to fairness, nor efficiency: the system fluctuates along a equi-fairness line



#### MIAD converges to a totally unfair allocation,

favoring the flow with a greater rate at the beginning







	increase behavior	decrease behavior
AIAD	gentle	gentle
AIMD	gentle	aggressive
MIAD	aggressive	gentle
MIMD	aggressive	aggressive

AMD converge to fairness and efficiency, it then fluctuates around the optimum (in a stable way)

#### AIMD converge to fairness and efficiency, it then fluctuates around the optimum (in a stable way)

During increase, both flows gain bandwidth at the same rate

Intuition

During decrease,

the faster flow releases more

#### AIMD converge to fairness and efficiency, it then fluctuates around the optimum (in a stable way)



In practice, TCP implements AIME	)		
	increase behavior	decrease behavior	
AIAD	gentle	gentle	
AIMD	gentle	aggressive	
MIAD	aggressive	gentle	
MIMD	aggressive	aggressive	





TCP congestion control in less than 10 lines of code

miniany.
cwnd = 1
ssthresh = infinite
New ACK received:
if (cwnd < ssthresh):
/* Slow Start*/
cwnd = cwnd + 1
else:
/* Congestion Avoidance */
cwnd = cwnd + 1/cwnd
Timeout:
/* Multiplicative decrease */
ssthresh = cwnd/2
cwnd = 1

Going back all the way back to 0 upon timeout Detecting losses can be done using ACKs or timeouts, completely destroys throughput the two signal differ in their degree of severity solution Avoid timeout expiration... which are usually >500ms duplicated ACKs mild congestion signal packets are still making it timeout severe congestion signal multiple consequent losses TCP automatically resends a segment After a fast retransmit, TCP switches back to AIMD, after receiving 3 duplicates ACKs for it without going all way the back to 0 this is known as a "fast retransmit" this is known as "fast recovery TCP congestion control (almost complete) Duplicate ACKs received: Initially: Duplicate ACKs received: Initially: cwnd = 1 . dup\_ack ++; dup\_ack ++; ssthresh = infinite if (dup\_ack >= 3): ssthresh = infinite if (dup\_ack >= 3): New ACK received: /\* Fast Recovery \*/ New ACK received: /\* Fast Recovery \*/ if (cwnd < ssthresh): ssthresh = cwnd/2if (cwnd < ssthresh): ssthresh = cwnd/2cwnd = ssthresh cwnd = ssthresh /\* Slow Start\*/ cwnd = cwnd + 1else: /\* Congestion Avoidance \*/ cwnd = cwnd + 1/cwnd cwnd = cwnd + 1/cwnd $dup_ack = 0$  $dup_ack = 0$ Timeout: Timeout: \* Multiplicative decrease \*/ ssthresh = cwnd/2 ssthresh = cwnd/2cwnd = 1Congestion control makes TCP throughput We now have completed the transport layer (!) look like a "sawtooth" HTTP(S) Application cwnd



