Communication Networks

Spring 2017





Laurent Vanbever

www.vanbever.eu

ETH Zürich (D-ITET)

June 1 2017

Material inspired from Scott Shenker & Jennifer Rexford

Last Monday on Communication Networks

DNS

Web

google.ch +-- 172.217.16.131

http://www.google.ch

DNS

Web

google.ch ←→ 172.217.16.131

The DNS system is a distributed database which enables to resolve a name into an IP address



To scale, DNS adopt three intertwined hierarchies

naming structure addresses are hierarchical

www.ee.ethz.ch

management hierarchy of authority

over names


infrastructure hierarchy of DNS servers

naming structure


addresses are hierarchical

www.ee.ethz.ch


Top Level Domain (TLDs) sit at the top



Domains are subtrees




A name, *e.g.* ee.ethz.ch, represents a leaf-to-root path in the hierarchy



management

hierarchy of authority over names


The DNS system is hierarchically administered



infrastructure


hierarchy of DNS servers

13 root servers (managed professionally) serve as root (*)



(*) see http://www.root-servers.org/

The bottom (and bulk) of the hierarchy is managed by Internet Service Provider or locally




Every server knows the address of the root servers (*) required for bootstrapping the systems

(*) see https://www.internic.net/domain/named.root

From there on, each server knows the address of all children

Using DNS relies on two components



trigger resolution process send request to local DNS server usually, near the endhosts
configured statically (resolv.conf)
or dynamically (DHCP)

Name Value

Records

Α

NS

MX

CNAME

PTR

hostname IP address


domain DNS server name


domain Mail server name

alias canonical name

IP address corresponding hostname

DNS resolution can either be recursive or iterative





DNS

Web

http://www.google.ch

The WWW is made of three key components

Infrastructure

Content

Implementation

Clients/Browser

Servers

Proxies

Objects

files, pictures, videos, ...

organized in

Web sites

a collection of objects

URL: name content

HTTP: transport content

We focused on its implementation

Infrastructure

Content

Implementation

Clients/Browser

Servers

Proxies

Objects

files, pictures, videos, .

organized in

Web sites

a collection of objects

URL: name content

HTTP: transport content

Infrastructure

Content

Implementation

Clients/Browser

Servers

Proxies

Objects

files, pictures, videos, ...

organized in

Web sites

a collection of objects

URL: name content

HTTP: transport content

HTTP is a rather simple synchronous request/reply protocol

HTTP is layered over a bidirectional byte stream almost always TCP

HTTP is text-based (ASCII)

human readable, easy to reason about

HTTP is stateless

it maintains *no info* about past client requests

http://

Protocol



Performance

Today on Communication Networks

ICMP

NAT

Network Control Messages

its use for discovery

Network Address Translation

its use for sharing IPs

+ a little bit of SDN and course recap.

ICMP

NAT

Network Control Messages

its use for discovery

What Errors Might A Router See?

- Dead-end: No route to destination
- Sign of a loop: TTL expires
- Can't physically forward: packet too big
 - And has DF flag set
- Can't keep up with traffic: buffer overflowing
- Header corruption or ill-formed packets

•

What should network tell host about?

- No route to destination?
 - Host can't detect or fix routing failure.
- TTL expires?
 - Host can't detect or fix routing loop.
- Packet too big (with DF set)?
 - Host can adjust packet size, but can't tell difference between congestion drops and MTU drops
- Buffer overflowing?
 - Transport congestion control can detect/deal with this
- Header corruption or ill-formed packets?
 - Host can't fix corruption, but can fix formatting errors

Router Response to Problems?

- Router doesn't really need to respond
 - Best effort means never having to say you're sorry
 - So, IP could conceivably just silently drop packets
- Network is already trying its best
 - Routing is already trying to avoid loops/dead-ends
 - Network can't reduce packet size (in DF packets)
 - Network can't reduce load, nor fix format problems
- What more can/should it do?

Error Reporting Helps Diagnosis

- Silent failures are really hard to diagnose
- IP includes feedback mechanism for network problems, so they don't go undetected
- Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP)
- The Internet "print" statement
- Runs on IP, but viewed as <u>integral</u> part of IP

Internet Control Message Protocol

- Triggered when IP packet encounters a problem
 - E.g., Time Exceeded or Destination Unreachable
- ICMP packet sent back to the source IP address
 - Includes the error information (e.g., type and code)
 - IP header plus 8+ byte excerpt from original packet
- Source host receives the ICMP packet
 - Inspects excerpt (e.g., protocol/ports) to identify socket
- Exception: not sent if problem packet is ICMP
 - And just for fragment 0 of a group of fragments

Types of Control Messages

Need Fragmentation

IP packet too large for link layer, DF set

TTL Expired

Decremented at each hop; generated if ⇒ 0

Unreachable

- Subtypes: network / host / port
 - (who generates Port Unreachable?)

Source Quench

Old-style signal asking sender to slow down

Redirect

Tells source to use a different local router

Using ICMP

- ICMP intended to tell host about network problems
 - Diagnosis
 - Won't say more about this....
- Can exploit ICMP to elicit network information
 - Discovery
 - Will focus on this....

Discovering Network Path Properties

- PMTU Discovery: Largest packet that can go through the network w/o needing fragmentation
 - Most efficient size to use
 - (Plus fragmentation can amplify loss)
- Traceroute:
 - What is the series of routers that a packet traverses as it travels through the network?
- Ping:
 - Simple RTT measurements

Ping: Echo and Reply

- ICMP includes simple "echo" functionality
 - Sending node sends an ICMP Echo Request message
 - Receiving node sends an ICMP Echo Reply
- Ping tool
 - Tests connectivity with a remote host
 - ... by sending regularly spaced Echo Request
 - ... and measuring delay until receiving replies

Path MTU Discovery


- MTU = Maximum Transmission Unit
 - Largest IP packet that a <u>link</u> supports
- Path MTU (PMTU) = minimum end-to-end MTU
 - Must keep datagrams no larger to avoid fragmentation
- How does the sender know the PMTU is?
- Strategy (RFC 1191):
 - Try a desired value
 - Set DF to prevent fragmentation
 - Upon receiving Need Fragmentation ICMP ...
 - ... oops, that didn't work, try a smaller value

Issues with Path MTU Discovery

- What set of values should the sender try?
 - Usual strategy: work through "likely suspects"
 - E.g., 4352 (FDDI), 1500 (Ethernet),
 1480 (IP-in-IP over Ethernet), 296 (some modems)
- What if the PMTU changes? (how could it?)
 - Sender will immediately see *reductions* in PMTU (how?)
 - Sender can periodically try larger values
- What if Needs Fragmentation ICMP is lost?
 - Retransmission will elicit another one
- How can The Whole Thing Fail?
 - "PMTU Black Holes": routers that don't send the ICMP


Discovering Routing via Time Exceeded

- Host sends an IP packet
 - Each router decrements the time-to-live field
- If TTL reaches 0
 - Router sends Time Exceeded ICMP back to the source
 - Message identifies router sending it
 - Since ICMP is sent using IP, it's just the IP source address
 - And can use PTR record to find name of router



Traceroute: Exploiting Time Exceeded

- Time-To-Live field in IP packet header
 - Source sends a packet with TTL ranging from 1 to n
 - Each router along the path decrements the TTL
 - "TTL exceeded" sent when TTL reaches 0
- Traceroute tool exploits this TTL behavior



Send packets with TTL=1, 2, ... and record source of *Time Exceeded* message

ICMP

NAT

Network Address Translation

its use for sharing IPs

Sharing Single Address Across Hosts


- Network Address Translation (NAT) enables many hosts to share a single address
 - Uses port numbers (fields in transport layer)
- Was thought to be an architectural abomination when first proposed, but it:
 - Probably saved us from address exhaustion
 - And reflects a modern design paradigm (indirection)

Special-Purpose Address Blocks

- Limited broadcast
 - Sent to every host attached to the local network
 - Block: 255.255.255.255/32
- Loopback
 - Address blocks that refer to the local machine
 - Block: 127.0.0.0/8
 - Usually only 127.0.0.1/32 is used
- Link-local
 - By agreement, not forwarded by any router
 - Used for single-link communication only
 - Intent: autoconfiguration (especially when DHCP fails)
 - Block: 169.254.0.0/16
- Private addresses
 - By agreement, not routed in the public Internet
 - For networks not meant for general Internet connectivity
 - Blocks: 10.0.0.0/8, 172.16.0.0/12, 192.168.0.0/16


Network Address Translation (NAT)

Before NAT...every machine connected to Internet had unique IP address




NAT (cont'd)

- Assign addresses to machines behind same NAT
 - Can be any private address range
 - e.g. **192.168.0.0/16**
- Use port numbers to multiplex single address



NAT (cont'd)

- Assign addresses to machines behind same NAT
 - Usually in address block 192.168.0.0/16
- Use port numbers to multiplex single address



NAT: Early Example of "Middlebox"

- Boxes stuck into network to delivery functionality
 - NATs, Firewalls,....
- Don't fit into architecture, violate E2E principle
- But a very handy way to inject functionality that:
 - Does not require end host changes or cooperation
 - Is under operator control (e.g., security)
- An interesting architectural challenge:
 - How to incorporate middleboxes into architecture



Software-Defined Networking & Research outlook

Laurent Vanbever


based on original slides by Prof. Jennifer Rexford & Prof. Scott Shenker



Networks are Hard to Manage

- Operating a network is expensive
 - More than half the cost of a network
 - Yet, operator error causes most outages
- Buggy software in the equipment
 - Routers with 20+ million lines of code
 - Cascading failures, vulnerabilities, etc.
- The network is "in the way"
 - Especially a problem in data centers
 - ... and home networks








What is SDN and how does it help?

- SDN is a new approach to networking
 - Not about "architecture": IP, TCP, etc.
 - But about design of network control (routing, TE,...)
- SDN is predicated around two simple concepts
 - Separates the control-plane from the data-plane
 - Provides open API to directly access the data-plane
- While SDN doesn't do much, it enables a lot

Rethinking the "Division of Labor"


Traditional Computer Networks



Forward, filter, buffer, mark, rate-limit, and measure packets


Traditional Computer Networks

Control plane:




Track topology changes, compute routes, install forwarding rules

Software Defined Networking (SDN)



SDN advantages

- Simpler management
 - No need to "invert" control-plane operations
- Faster pace of innovation
 - Less dependence on vendors and standards
- Easier interoperability
 - Compatibility only in "wire" protocols
- Simpler, cheaper equipment
 - Minimal software



OpenFlow Networks

Simple packet-handling rules

- Pattern: match packet header bits, i.e. flowspace
- Actions: drop, forward, modify, send to controller
- Priority: disambiguate overlapping patterns
- Counters: #bytes and #packets



```
10. src=1.2.*.*, dest=3.4.5.* → drop
05. src = *.*.*.*, dest=3.4.*.* → forward(2)
01. src=10.1.2.3, dest=*.*.*. → send to controller
```

- Simple packet-handling rules
 - Pattern: match packet header bits, i.e. flowspace
 - Actions: drop, forward, modify, send to controller
 - Priority: disambiguate overlapping patterns
 - Counters: #bytes and #packets

```
pkt ->
src:1.2.1.1, dst:3.4.5.6
```


```
10. src=1.2.*.*, dest=3.4.5.* → drop
05. src = *.*.*, dest=3.4.*.* → forward(2)
01. src=10.1.2.3, dest=*.*.*. → send to controller
```

- Simple packet-handling rules
 - Pattern: match packet header bits, i.e. flowspace
 - Actions: drop, forward, modify, send to controller
 - Priority: disambiguate overlapping patterns
 - Counters: #bytes and #packets

```
pkt ->
src:1.2.1.1, dst:3.4.5.6
```

```
10. src=1.2.*.*, dest=3.4.5.* → drop
05. src = *.*.*.*, dest=3.4.*.* → forward(2)
01. src=10.1.2.3, dest=*.*.*. → send to controller
```

- Simple packet-handling rules
 - Pattern: match packet header bits, i.e. flowspace
 - Actions: drop, forward, modify, send to controller
 - Priority: disambiguate overlapping patterns
 - Counters: #bytes and #packets



```
10. src=1.2.*.*, dest=3.4.5.* → drop
05. src = *.*.*.*, dest=3.4.*.* → forward(2)
01. src=10.1.2.3, dest=*.*.*. → send to controller
```

- Simple packet-handling rules
 - Pattern: match packet header bits, i.e. flowspace
 - Actions: drop, forward, modify, send to controller
 - Priority: disambiguate overlapping patterns
 - Counters: #bytes and #packets

```
pkt ->
src:1.2.1.1, dst:3.4.5.6
```


```
10. src=1.2.*.*, dest=3.4.5.* → drop
05. src = *.*.*, dest=3.4.*.* → forward(2)
01. src=10.1.2.3, dest=*.*.*. → send to controller
```

- Simple packet-handling rules
 - Pattern: match packet header bits, i.e. flowspace
 - Actions: drop, forward, modify, send to controller
 - Priority: disambiguate overlapping patterns
 - Counters: #bytes and #packets

```
pkt ->
src:1.2.1.1, dst:3.4.5.6
```

```
10. src=1.2.*.*, dest=3.4.5.* → drop
05. src = *.*.*.*, dest=3.4.*.* → forward(2)
01. src=10.1.2.3, dest=*.*.*. → send to controller
```

- Simple packet-handling rules
 - Pattern: match packet header bits, i.e. flowspace
 - Actions: drop, forward, modify, send to controller
 - Priority: disambiguate overlapping patterns
 - Counters: #bytes and #packets



```
10. src=1.2.*.*, dest=3.4.5.* → drop
05. src = *.*.*.*, dest=3.4.*.* → forward(2)
01. src=10.1.2.3, dest=*.*.*. → send to controller
```

OpenFlow switches can emulate different kinds of boxes

Router

- Match: longest destination IP prefix
- Action: forward out a link

Switch

- Match: destination MAC address
- Action: forward or flood


Firewall

- Match: IP addresses and TCP/UDP port numbers
- Action: permit or deny


NAT

- Match: IP address and port
- Action: rewrite address and port

Controller: Programmability



Controller: Programmability



Receives events from switches

Topology changes, **Traffic statistics, Arriving packets**


Send commands to switches

(Un)install rules, Query statistics, **Send packets**


Example OpenFlow Applications


- Dynamic access control
- Seamless mobility/migration
- Server load balancing
- Network virtualization
- Using multiple wireless access points
- Energy-efficient networking
- Adaptive traffic monitoring
- Denial-of-Service attack detection

E.g.: Dynamic Access Control



E.g.: Seamless Mobility/Migration






E.g.: Server Load Balancing




Split traffic based on source IP



Challenges


Heterogeneous Switches

- Number of packet-handling rules
- Range of matches and actions
- Multi-stage pipeline of packet processing
- Offload some control-plane functionality (?)



Controller Delay and Overhead

- Controller is much slower than the switch
- Processing packets leads to delay and overhead
- Need to keep most packets in the "fast path"



Distributed Controller

Controller Application


Network OS

For scalability and reliability

Partition and replicate state

Controller Application

Network OS



Testing and Debugging

- OpenFlow makes programming possible
 - Network-wide view at controller
 - Direct control over data plane
- Plenty of room for bugs
 - Still a complex, distributed system
- Need for testing techniques
 - Controller applications
 - Controller and switches
 - Rules installed in the switches

Programming Abstractions

- OpenFlow is a low-level API
 - Thin veneer on the underlying hardware

Makes network programming Controller possible, not easy!

Switches

Example: Simple Repeater

Simple Repeater

```
def switch_join(switch):
    # Repeat Port 1 to Port 2
    p1 = {in_port:1}
    a1 = [forward(2)]
    install(switch, p1, DEFAULT, a1)

# Repeat Port 2 to Port 1
    p2 = {in_port:2}
    a2 = [forward(1)]
    install(switch, p2, DEFAULT, a2)
```


When a switch joins the network, install two forwarding rules.

Example: Web Traffic Monitor

Monitor "port 80" traffic

```
def switch_join(switch):
    # Web traffic from Internet
    p = {inport:2,tp_src:80}
    install(switch, p, DEFAULT, [])
    query_stats(switch, p)

def stats_in(switch, p, bytes, ...)
    print bytes
    sleep(30)
    query_stats(switch, p)
```



When a switch joins the network, install one monitoring rule.

Composition: Repeater + Monitor

Repeater + Monitor


```
def switch_join(switch):
    pat1 = {inport:1}
    pat2 = {inport:2}
    pat2web = {in_port:2, tp_src:80}
    install(switch, pat1, DEFAULT, None, [forward(2)])
    install(switch, pat2web, HIGH, None, [forward(1)])
    install(switch, pat2, DEFAULT, None, [forward(1)])
    query_stats(switch, pat2web)

def stats_in(switch, xid, pattern, packets, bytes):
    print bytes
    sleep(30)
    query_stats(switch, pattern)
```

Must think about both tasks at the same time.

Asynchrony: Switch-Controller Delays

- Common OpenFlow programming idiom
 - -First packet of a flow goes to the controller
 - Controller installs rules to handle remaining packets



- What if more packets arrive before rules installed?
 - Multiple packets of a flow reach the controller
- What if rules along a path installed out of order?
 - Packets reach intermediate switch before rules do

Must think about all possible event orderings.

Better: Increase the level of abstraction

- Separate reading from writing
 - -Reading: specify queries on network state
 - Writing: specify forwarding policies
- Compose multiple tasks
 - -Write each task once, and combine with others
- Prevent race conditions
 - Automatically apply forwarding policy to extra packets
- See http://frenetic-lang.org/

Current research directions

- Bring SDN to the Internet
- Enable SDN in existing networks
- Boost the performance of existing networks using SDN
- Verify controller programs and interactions
- Improve network monitoring
- Improve network security and anonymity
- ... and many more!

Conclusions

- SDN is exciting
 - Enables innovation
 - Simplifies management
 - Rethinks networking from the ground-up
- Significant momentum
 - In both research and industry
 - Size of the SDN market already several billion \$\$
- Great research opportunity
 - Practical impact on future networks practices
 - Placing network on a strong foundation




Knowledge

Understand how the Internet works and why



from your network plug...



...to Google's data-center

List any
technologies, principles, applications...
used after typing in:

> www.google.ch

and pressing enter in your browser

Insight

Key concepts and problems in Networking

Naming Layering Routing Reliability Sharing

Skill

Build, operate and configure networks






Trinity using a port scanner (nmap) in Matrix Reloaded™

The Internet is organized as layers, providing a set of services

| | layer | service provided |
|----|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| L5 | Application | network access |
| L4 | Transport | end-to-end delivery (reliable or not) |
| L3 | Network | global best-effort delivery |
| L2 | Link | local best-effort delivery |
| L1 | Physical | physical transfer of bits |



We started with the fundamentals of routing and reliable transport

| | Application | network access |
|----|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| L4 | Transport | end-to-end delivery (reliable or not) |
| L3 | Network | global best-effort delivery |
| | Link | local best-effort delivery |
| | Physical | physical transfer of bits |

We saw three ways to compute valid routing state

| | Intuition | Example |
|----|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| #1 | Use tree-like topologies | Spanning-tree |
| #2 | Rely on a global network view | Link-State SDN |
| #3 | Rely on distributed computation | Distance-Vector BGP |

We saw how to design a reliable transport protocol

goals

correctness ensure data is delivered, in order, and untouched

timeliness minimize time until data is transferred

efficiency optimal use of bandwidth

fairness play well with other concurrent communications


In each case, we explored the rationale behind each protocol and why they came to be

Why did the protocols end up looking like this? minimum set of features required

What tradeoffs do they achieve? efficiency, cost,...

When is one design more adapted than another? packet switching *vs* circuit switching, DV *vs* LS,...

We then climbed up the layers, starting from layer 2



Communication Networks

Part 2: The Link Layer



#1 What is a link?


#2 How do we identify link adapters?

#3 How do we share a network medium?


#4 What is Ethernet?

#5 How do we interconnect segments at the link layer?

We then spent multiple weeks on layer 3



Internet Protocol and Forwarding



source: Boardwatch Magazine

- I IP addresses
 use, structure, allocation
- 2 IP forwarding longest prefix match rule
- 3 IP header IPv4 and IPv6, wire format

Internet routing

from here to there, and back



1 Intra-domain routing

Link-state protocols


Distance-vector protocols

Inter-domain routing

Path-vector protocols

Border Gateway Protocol

policies and more



1 BGP Policies

Follow the Money

2 Protocol

How does it work?

3 Problems

security, performance, ...

Routing security

attacks & mitigation

intra-domain routing


inter-domain routing

insider in/outsider

BGP (lack of) security: problems & solutions

- #1 BGP does not validate the origin of advertisements
- #2 BGP does not validate the content of advertisements
- #3 Proposed Enhancements
- #4 What about the data plane?
- #5 What's the Internet to do anyway?

$$4 = 3 + 1$$



Transport Protocols: UDP & TCP The requirements

Data delivering, to the correct application

- IP just points towards next protocol
- Transport needs to demultiplex incoming data (ports)

Files or bytestreams abstractions for the applications

- Network deals with packets
- Transport layer needs to translate between them

Reliable transfer (if needed)

Not overloading the receiver

Not overloading the network

Transport Protocols: UDP & TCP The implementation

Demultiplexing: identifier for application process

Going from host-to-host (IP) to process-to-process

Translating between bytestreams and packets:

Do segmentation and reassembly

Reliability: ACKs and all that stuff

Corruption: Checksum

Not overloading receiver: "Flow Control"

Limit data in receiver's buffer

Not overloading network: "Congestion Control"

We then looked at Congestion Control and how it solves three fundamental problems

| #1 | bandwidth estimation | How to adjust the bandwidth of a single flow to the bottleneck bandwidth? |
|----|-------------------------|--|
| | | could be 1 Mbps or 1 Gbps |
| #2 | bandwidth adaptation | How to adjust the bandwidth of a single flow to variation of the bottleneck bandwidth? |
| #3 | fairness | How to share bandwidth "fairly" among flows, without overloading the network |

... by combining two key mechanisms

detecting congestion

reacting to congestion

We finally looked at what's running on top of all this ...

DNS Web

google.ch ←→ 172.217.16.131 http://www.google.ch

... and filled-up some holes with 2 helpers protocols

ICMP

NAT


Network Control Messages

its use for discovery

Network Address Translation

its use for sharing IPs

Now you (better) understand this!



We've opportunities for both Master and Semester theses

soon available on our upcoming website:

nsg.ee.ethz.ch (coming soon)

for now, shoot us an email

Communication Networks

Spring 2017





Laurent Vanbever

www.vanbever.eu

ETH Zürich (D-ITET)

June 1 2017